



Mini Simulation

Influenza and War in 1918

Last Updated: January 15, 2021

Overview

In the early fall of 1918, a second wave of influenza was spreading. The country was also contending with the end of World War I; the global conflict was waning but not yet over. As the <u>pandemic</u> grows deadlier, how can the United States bring the war to an end while responding effectively to a pandemic outbreak?

Students will understand that fighting World War I and responding to the 1918 influenza pandemic at the same time required trade-offs in policymaking.

Use the following historical case to spark discussion and help students think through what they would do if they were decision-makers. See the back of the page for inspiration on how to structure your conversation.

The Situation

By the summer of 1918, World War I was waning but not yet over. Just a year after entering the war, the United States had mobilized its entire society and economy to fight. That summer, ten thousand U.S. troops were arriving in France every day. Meanwhile, nearly the entire labor force at home—forty million strong—was working to fuel the war effort. Against this backdrop, influenza began to spread. It first appeared in the spring of 1918, but in August a second, deadlier wave emerged in cities across the United States, Europe, and Africa. Within one month of the first cases arriving at Camp Devens, a military training base near Boston, influenza sickened one-third of the camp's forty thousand soldiers and killed nearly one thousand. As mass mobilization for the war effort continued, the virus tore through military camps, ships, and trenches. The virus also spread at home, devastating crowded cities and factories. With no test or vaccine and treatments only available for a handful of symptoms, the 1918 influenza was on track to become a pandemic that would kill millions.

The war not only facilitated the influenza's spread but also simultaneously hampered governments' responses. For policymakers on both sides of the war, the measures required to fight the pandemic were at odds with those required to fight the war. Keeping medical resources and personnel at home rather than sending them to the front could boost domestic health-care capacity but deprive the war effort of vital resources. Quarantining troops or reducing their movement could slow the disease's international spread but would risk sapping military strength and demonstrating weakness to the enemy. Clear, centralized communication of data and guidelines could slow the disease at home but jeopardize morale. Maintaining the total mobilization of society for the war required sustaining belief in the importance of the war effort. News of a seemingly greater threat—the influenza—could lead people to worry more about the health of their families than the success of U.S. troops.

After a string of defeats, Germany was rumored to be considering peace negotiations. The United States and its allies were therefore reluctant to let up pressure. Thus, despite warnings of the pandemic's intensity, many countries continued to prioritize the war. This included censoring media about the disease to downplay its severity. The Woodrow Wilson administration remained largely silent about the pandemic. As a result, the response was left in the hands of under-equipped and uncoordinated state and local governments. In the fall of 1918, however, as the pandemic grew increasingly severe, the United States faced a tipping point: the rapidly spreading influenza risked becoming more deadly than the war itself. As a result, it was time for the Wilson administration to take a more active role.

Decision Point

Set in Late September 1918.

The American Meuse-Argonne offensive, just begun, is mired in sickness. Field hospitals and medical transports along the Western Front are near capacity. On a daily basis, thousands of troops are infected with influenza. The virus is also ravaging cities across the United States. The nation has limited medical and research capacity to confront influenza. Meanwhile, with little to no guidance from the president or the press, local governments are unable to effectively combat the pandemic. President Wilson has yet to make a public announcement concerning the influenza. Yet with the war's end seemingly drawing near, Wilson has called a meeting of his cabinet to discuss whether and how to turn attention to the pandemic. Advisors will need to consider what measures are appropriate to contain or manage the disease. Policymakers must also contend with the trade-offs those measures could incur on the war effort.

The U.S. president and cabinet should consider any combination of the following policy options:

- *Domestic measures*, including public statements on the extent of the pandemic and federal guidelines for U.S. states to follow on social distancing practices, public event cancellation, quarantine procedures, and civilian travel restrictions. This option could slow the spread of influenza within the United States while largely maintaining the war effort abroad. However, this option risks damaging morale and disrupting production for the war effort at home.
- *Military measures*, including halting or reducing the transport of new troops to France. This option would also implement strict quarantine measures for troops, recalling some medical personnel, and diverting military resources and funding to domestic health responses. This option could bolster states' and cities' capacity to care for patients. However, drastic military measures could weaken U.S. forces, reinvigorating the enemy and prolonging the war.
- Prioritize the war effort, by upholding wartime censorship and maintaining current troop movements. This
 option would leave the management of the pandemic up to state and local governments. This option would
 do little to slow the disease and lessen its death toll. However, it has the best chance of ensuring swift victory
 in the war.

Letter from a doctor describing conditions at Camp Devens (September 29, 1918) PBS

Navy Bureau of Sanitation Influenza Guidelines (September 26, 1918) National Archives

"Spanish Influenza Here," article revealing attitudes toward the epidemic (Augu... New York Times